

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/788,906		GIROUARD ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	JESSICA REIDEL		3766	

All Participants:

(1) JESSICA REIDEL.

(2) Janet E. Embretson.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 32, as amended February 20, 2008

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Zhengnian Tang.

(4) _____.

Time: 2 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner clarified with Applicant that 37 CFR 1.142(a) provides that restriction is proper at any stage of prosecution up to final action and per MPEP § 811, a proper requirement should be made as early as possible in prosecution, "in the first action if possible, otherwise, as soon as the need for a proper requirement develops". The Examiner also clarified that previously withdrawn claims were identified at the first paragraph of the April 21, 2008 Restriction Requirement.